



National Patient Safety Initiatives: Moving beyond What Is Necessary

Citation

Zimlichman, Eyal, and David W. Bates. 2012. National patient safety initiatives: Moving beyond what is necessary. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research* 1:20.

Published Version

doi:10.1186/2045-4015-1-20

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10530735>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

COMMENTARY

Open Access

National patient safety initiatives: Moving beyond what is necessary

Eyal Zimlichman^{1,2} and David W Bates^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Ilan and Donchin have compared Israel and Canada's experiences in setting a national patient safety agenda. We broaden this comparison to include the U.S. experience, and suggest that there are three additional key steps which will be important in any national patient safety agenda, and which Israel in particular should consider. These are 1) using health information technology (HIT) to directly improve patient safety, 2) dissemination and broad use of checklists, and 3) measuring patient safety over time at the national level. Especially because of its already substantial commitment to HIT and well-developed HIT sector, Israel has a major opportunity to move forward rapidly in this area and to achieve broad impact on the safety front.

This is a commentary on <http://www.ijhpr.org/content/1/1/19/>

Commentary

Making meaningful progress in advancing patient safety has become a global priority in the last decade. Key milestones were the ambitious agenda set in the U.S. by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to reduce the number of patients who were hurt or died as a result of medical errors and adverse events [1] and more recently, establishment of the World Health Organization (WHO) global patient safety initiative [2]. National initiatives and policy play a critical role for nations to meet these ambitious goals. In the U.S., in the aftermath of the IOM report, a series of initiatives were launched including setting up federal patient safety organizations that have set goals and guidelines, engaging accrediting organizations to include patient safety programs for providers, funding for new research on patient safety and liability reforms that allowed providers to report safety events.

In Ilan and Donchin's report published in this issue of *The Israel Journal of Health Policy Research* the authors focus on the experience of two countries in setting a national patient safety agenda - Canada and Israel [3]. In the last decade, Canada has followed the U.S. and put in place a plan with ambitious goals aimed at significantly advancing the safety of patients, Israel has yet to

set a formal national agenda and has pushed patient safety forward mainly through local initiatives and centers of excellence.

Ilan and Donchin go on to suggest what steps should be taken at the national level in Israel to establish an organized patient safety agenda. As immediate steps they stress the importance of establishing an organizational infrastructure starting from the national level and continuing with the major health care provider organizations, promoting research on patient safety through allocation of appropriate support for this work and emphasizing education of patient safety as a core curriculum component for health care professionals.

These important steps are indeed necessary, but in our opinion not sufficient to make a meaningful impact on a national level, as other countries are now learning. Canada has indeed taken many of the necessary steps to ensure a national patient safety agenda and infrastructure, as Ilan and Donchin rightfully point out. Still, a recent report by the Canadian Institute for Health Information has found that Canada performs on the lowest quartile among 17 reporting countries on rates of accidental puncture or laceration, obstetrical trauma as well as of foreign bodies left in during surgical procedures [4]. Similarly, in the U.S. three studies recently published have shown that rates of injury due to medical error have remained unchanged in the last decade [5-7] as all three conclude that the U.S. has made inadequate progress in patient safety.

* Correspondence: dbates@partners.org

¹Division of General Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

What else is needed then to drive meaningful improvement in patient safety on a national scale? Our experience, as well as the emerging evidence, point to three steps that need to be a part of any national patient safety agenda. First, harnessing health information technology (HIT) to promote patient safety is pivotal because it extends to all providers. This is especially important for domains with robust evidence such as implementation of medication safety systems—computerized physicians order entry and bar-coding systems in particular, though we expect benefit will be demonstrated for other areas soon. With the high adoption rate of electronic health systems [8,9] Israel specifically could make significant strides on this in a relatively short time. Secondly, dissemination and use of checklists throughout all hospitals as part of a national initiative has the potential to substantially reduce morbidity and mortality, with some of the best data relating to surgical checklists and central line infection [10,11]. Down the road, implementation of checklists for other processes of care promises to hold additional benefit. With checklists, information technology could also help as a tool. Third, we think it will be important to measure patient safety nationally over time. While measuring patient safety metrics on a national scale has been done for some time especially in the OECD, often safety is assessed with claims data or chart reviews post hoc, with poor sensitivity and specificity [12]. By tapping into the abundant electronic data that exists within EHRs to measure patient safety, and designing EHRs so that they are able to systematically track adverse events in real time, it should be possible to make meaningful strides in improving patient safety.

Much still needs to be done to push patient safety forward globally. Although some countries have had a substantial head start in creating national patient safety infrastructure and policy, most have yet to realize substantial improvement. Policy makers need to learn what has worked and what has not and better understand what is yet needed. Harnessing HIT to promote patient safety holds much promise and could present Israel with an opportunity to turn the tide.

Author details

¹Division of General Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ²Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ³Department of Health Care Policy and Management, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Authors' information

Dr. Eyal Zimlichman is an internal medicine physician and a researcher at Brigham and Women's Hospital and the Harvard Medical School affiliated Center for Patient Safety Research and Practice and a lead researcher at the Department of Clinical Affairs at Partners Healthcare in Boston, U.S.A. Previously, he worked in Sheba Medical Center as the assistant to the CEO. Dr. David Bates is the Chief Quality Officer and Chief of General Internal

Medicine at Brigham and Women's Hospital, Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, and Professor of Health Policy and Management at the Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 3 January 2012 Accepted: 23 May 2012

Published: 23 May 2012

References

1. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, Institute of Medicine (U.S.). Committee on Quality of Health Care in America: **To err is human: building a safer health system**. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; 2000.
2. World Health Assembly Resolution WHA55.18. Quality of care: patient safety. [http://apps.who.int/gb/archive/pdf_files/WHA55/ewha5518.pdf], Accessed online: Dec 23, 2011.
3. Ilan R, Donchin Y: **Creating patient safety capacity in a nation's health system: a comparison between Israel and Canada**. *Isr J of Health Policy Res* 2012, **1**:19.
4. Learning from the best: Benchmarking Canada's health system. *Canadian Institute for Health Information* [http://secure.cihi.ca/cihiweb/products/learning_from_thebest_en.pdf], Accessed online: Dec 23, 2011.
5. Landrigan CP, Parry GJ, Bones CB, Hackbarth AD, Goldmann DA, Sharek PJ: **Temporal trends in rates of patient harm resulting from medical care**. *N Engl J Med* 2010, **363**:2124-34.
6. Levinson DR: **Adverse events in hospitals: national incidence among Medicare beneficiaries**. Washington, DC: Office of the Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services; 2010.
7. Classen DC, Resar R, Griffin F, Federico F, Frankel T, Kimmel N, Whittington JC, Frankel A, Seger A, James BC: **'Global trigger tool' shows that adverse events in hospitals may be ten times greater than previously measured**. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2011, **30**:581-9.
8. Lejbkowitz I, Denekamp Y, Reis S, Goldenberg D: **Electronic medical record systems in Israel's public hospitals**. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2004, **6**(10):583-7.
9. Peterburg Y: **Israel's Health IT Industry: What Does the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Mean for Israeli Collaborative Opportunities?** *Milken Institute* 2010 [http://www.milkeninstitute.org/publications/publications taf?function=detail&ID=38801229&cat=resrep], Accessed online: Dec 23, 2011.
10. WHO's patient-safety checklist for surgery. *Lancet* 2008, **372**(9632):1.
11. Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Breizat AH, Dellinger EP, Herbosa T, Joseph S, Kibatala PL, Lapitan MC, Merry AF, Moorthy K, Reznick RK, Taylor B, Gawande AA, Safe Surgery Saves Lives Study Group: **A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population**. *N Engl J Med* 2009, **360**(5):491-9.
12. Jha AK, Classen DC: **Getting moving on patient safety—harnessing electronic data for safer care**. *N Engl J Med* 2011, **365**(19):1756-8.

doi:10.1186/2045-4015-1-20

Cite this article as: Zimlichman and Bates: National patient safety initiatives: Moving beyond what is necessary. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research* 2012 **1**:20.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

